arXiv:math/0105213v2 [math.AG] 15 Nov 2001

ON THE GEOMETRY OF THE HILBERT SCHEMES OF POINTS IN THE PROJECTIVE PLANE

Wei-ping Li[1], Zhenbo Qin[2] and Qi Zhang[3]

Abstract. We determine the nef cone and effective cone of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ of points on the projective plane $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, characterize all the rational curves in $X^{[n]}$ of degree-1 with respect to some very ample line bundle, describe the moduli spaces of these curves, and study the contraction of the extremal ray on $X^{[n]}$.

[1]Department of Mathematics, HKUST, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong
[2,3]Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

1. Introduction

The Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ of points in a smooth projective surface X is a desingularization of the n-th symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$ of X (see [Fo1]). An element ξ in $X^{[n]}$ is a length-n 0-dimensional closed subscheme of X. Recently, there are two surprising discoveries, mainly due to the work of Göttsche [Got], Nakajima [Na1] and Grojnowski [Gro], that the Hilbert schemes $X^{[n]}$ $(n \ge 0)$ have relationships with modular forms on the one hand and with representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras on the other hand. Their work basically deals with the cohomology groups (the Hodge numbers as well) of $X^{[n]}$. The geometry of $X^{[n]}$ is another interesting area of research. An excellent example in this regard is Beauville's work [Bea] which says that $X^{[n]}$ admits a hyperkähler structure when X is a $K3$ surface. In this paper, we shall study the geometry of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ when X is the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. To be more specific, we shall determine the nef cone and effective cone of $X^{[n]}$, characterize all the rational curves in $X^{[n]}$ of degree-1 with respect to some very ample line bundle on $X^{[n]}$, and study the contraction of the extremal ray on $X^{[n]}$. Throughout the paper, we assume that $n \ge 2$.

Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, ℓ be a line in X, and $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$ be distinct but fixed points with $x_i \notin \ell$ for $i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)$. Let $M_2(x_1) = \{\xi \in X^{[2]} \,|\, \mathrm{Supp}(\xi) = \{x_1\}\}$ be the punctual Hilbert scheme parameterizing length-2 0-dimensional subschemes supported at x_1. It is known that $M_2(x_1) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. We define two curves in $X^{[n]}$:

$$\beta_\ell = \big\{ x + x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} \in X^{[n]} \,|\, x \in \ell \big\}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\beta_n = \big\{ \xi + x_2 + \ldots + x_{n-1} \in X^{[n]} \,|\, \xi \in M_2(x_1) \big\} \tag{1.2}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14C05; Secondary 14E05, 14J26.
[1]Partially supported by the grant HKUST6170/99P
[2]Partially supported by an NSF grant and an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship
[3]Partially supported by an NSA grant and MU Research Board

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Both β_n and β_ℓ are smooth rational curves on $X^{[n]}$. It can be shown that the 1-cycle $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$ in the Chow group $A_1(X^{[n]})$ is rationally equivalent to a smooth rational curve. In section 3, we determine the effective cone of $X^{[n]}$.

Theorem A. *The effective cone of* $X^{[n]}$ *is spanned by* β_n *and* $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$.

Next we introduce the following two divisors on the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$:

$$B_n = \big\{\, \xi \in X^{[n]} \,|\, |\operatorname{Supp}(\xi)| < n \,\big\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_\ell = \big\{\, \xi \in X^{[n]} \mid \operatorname{Supp}(\xi) \cap \ell \neq \emptyset \big\}.$$

It can be shown that $(nD_\ell - B_n/2)$ is very ample, and $\beta_n \cdot (nD_\ell - B_n/2) = 1 = (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) \cdot (nD_\ell - B_n/2)$. Moreover, curves of degree-1 with respect to $(nD_\ell - B_n/2)$ are homologous to either β_n or $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$. We are interested in determining all these minimal degree curves.

In section 4, we characterize all the curves γ homologous to β_n. To state the result, we put $X^{[n,n+1]} = \big\{\, (\xi, \eta) \in X^{[n]} \times X^{[n+1]} \,|\, \xi \subset \eta \,\big\}$, and define

$$f_{n+1}\colon X^{[n,n+1]} \to X^{[n]}, \qquad \psi_{n+1}\colon X^{[n,n+1]} \to \mathcal{Z}_{n+1} \subset X^{[n+1]} \times X$$

where f_{n+1} is the restriction of the projection $X^{[n]} \times X^{[n+1]} \to X^{[n]}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$ is the universal subscheme of $X^{[n+1]} \times X$, and $\psi_{n+1}(\xi, \eta) = \big(\eta, \operatorname{Supp}(I_\xi/I_\eta)\big) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$. It is known (see [ES2]) that $\psi_{n+1} : X^{[n,n+1]} \to \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}})$ where $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}$ is the dualizing sheaf of $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$. So the fiber $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$ over $(\eta, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$ is isomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\omega_{\eta_x} \otimes \mathbb{C}_x)$.

Theorem B. *A curve* γ *in* $X^{[n]}$ *is homologous to* β_n *if and only if* $\gamma = f_{n+1}(C)$ *where* C *is a line in the projective space* $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$ *with* $(\eta, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$. *Moreover, in this case, the point* (η, x) *and the line* C *are uniquely determined by* γ.

We shall show that the moduli space of all these curves has dimension $(2n-2)$ and its top stratum consists of all the curves γ of the form (1.2). Moreover, the normal bundles of these curves γ in $X^{[n]}$ are determined.

In section 5, we characterize all the curves γ homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$. Recall that $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. So $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(C) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ for every line C in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$.

Theorem C. *A curve* γ *in* $X^{[n]}$ *is homologous to* $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$ *if and only if there exists a line* C *in* $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ *such that* γ *is a line in* $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(C) \subset X^{[n]}$. *Moreover, in this case, the line* C *in* $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ *is uniquely determined by the curve* γ.

Note that the set of all lines in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ is the dual space $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$, and $\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)$ is isomorphic to the universal family $\{(x, C) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^* | \, x \in C\}$. We prove that the set of all the curves γ in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$ is parameterized by the Grassmannian bundle $\operatorname{Grass}(\operatorname{Sym}^n((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*), 2)$ over $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ (here we refer to Conventions below for our convention on the Grassmannians).

In section 6, we study a morphism φ_1 on $X^{[n]}$ which is defined as follows. Let $\operatorname{Grass}\big(H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big), n\big)$ be the Grassmannian of n-dimensional quotients of the vector space $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$. By a result of Beltrametti and Sommese [BSG] on k-very ampleness, there exists a morphism $\varphi_1\colon X^{[n]} \to \operatorname{Grass}\big(H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big), n\big)$ mapping $\xi \in X^{[n]}$ to the n-dimensional quotient space $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$ of $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$. We show that when $n \geq 3$, φ_1 is the contraction of an extremal ray. Moreover, $\varphi_1 : X^{[n]} \to \varphi_1(X^{[n]})$ is a small contraction when $n \geq 4$.

Theorem D. *When* $n \geq 4$, *the flip for the small contraction* φ_1 *exists.*

All the results (including Theorem B) in section 4 are proved for a simply-connected smooth projective surface X. They have been used to compute the 1-point Gromov-Witten invariants of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$, and to study the quantum cohomology of $X^{[n]}$. We refer to [L-Q] for details.

Conventions: Let $0 \le k \le n$ and V be an n-dimensional vector space. We use the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Grass}(V, k)$ to denote the set of all k-dimensional quotients of V, or equivalently, the set of all $(n-k)$-dimensional subspaces of V. Also, we take $\mathbb{P}(V) = \mathrm{Grass}(V, 1)$. So the set of lines in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Grass}(V, 2)$.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank D. Edidin, L. Ein, R. Friedman, S. Katz, Y. Kawamata and Y. Ruan for stimulating discussions. In addition, the first author thanks S. Katz and the Mathematics Department of Oklahoma State University for their hospitality during his visit there in June of 1999. This work is partially supported by a grant from the American Institute of Mathematics.

2. Basic facts about the Hilbert scheme of points in a surface

In this section, we shall fix some notations, and review some basic facts for the Hilbert scheme of points in a smooth projective surface.

Let X be a simply-connected smooth projective surface, and $X^{[n]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of points in X. An element in $X^{[n]}$ is represented by a length-n 0-dimensional closed subscheme ξ of X. For $\xi \in X^{[n]}$, let I_ξ and $\mathcal{O}_\xi$ be the corresponding sheaf of ideals and structure sheaf respectively. For a point $x \in X$, let ξ_x be the component of ξ supported at x and $I_{\xi,x} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ be the stalk of I_ξ at x. It is known from [Fo1] that $X^{[n]}$ is smooth. In $X^{[n]} \times X$, we have the universal codimension-2 subscheme:

$$\mathcal{Z}_n = \{(\xi, x) \subset X^{[n]} \times X \,|\, x \in \mathrm{Supp}\,(\xi)\} \subset X^{[n]} \times X. \tag{2.1}$$

Define the incidence variety $X^{[n-1,n]} = \{(\xi, \eta) \in X^{[n-1]} \times X^{[n]} \,|\, \xi \subset \eta\} \subset X^{[n-1]} \times X^{[n]}$. It is known that $X^{[n-1,n]}$ is smooth and of dimension $2n$. Let X^n and $X^{(n)} = \mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$ be the n-th Cartesian product and symmetric product respectively. Then, we have the Hilbert-Chow morphism ρ_n and the quotient map υ_n:

$$\rho_n\colon\ X^{[n]} \to X^{(n)}, \qquad \upsilon_n\colon\ X^n \to X^{(n)}. \tag{2.2}$$

For a subset $Y \subset X$, we define the subset $M_n(Y)$ in the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$:

$$M_n(Y) = \{\xi \in X^{[n]} |\, \mathrm{Supp}(\xi) \text{ is a point in } Y\} \subset X^{[n]}. \tag{2.3}$$

So for $x \in X$, $M_n(x)$ is just the punctual Hilbert scheme of points on X at x. It is known that $M_n(x)$ are isomorphic for all X and all $x \in X$. Let $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be the punctual Hilbert scheme of points on $\mathbb{C}^2$ at the origin. We adopt the isomorphism

$$M_n(x) \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0). \tag{2.4}$$

Given $Y \subset X^{[n-k]}$ and $\eta \in X^{[k]}$ with $\{\mathrm{Supp}(\xi) | \xi \in Y\} \cap \mathrm{Supp}(\eta) = \emptyset$, we use $Y + \eta$ to represent the subset in $X^{[n]}$ consisting of all the points $\xi + \eta$ with $\xi \in Y$.

Notation 2.5. (see [ES2]) Let f_n and g_n be the projections of $X^{[n-1,n]} \subset X^{[n-1]} \times X^{[n]}$ to $X^{[n-1]}$ and $X^{[n]}$ respectively. Let p_n and q_n be the projections of $X^{[n]} \times X$ to $X^{[n]}$ and X respectively. Define the morphisms $\pi_n\colon\ \mathcal{Z}_n \to X^{[n]}$ by $\pi_n(\eta, x) =$

η and q: $X^{[n-1,n]} \to X$ by $q(\xi, \eta) = \mathrm{Supp}(I_\xi/I_\eta)$. In addition, we put $\psi_n = (g_n, q)$: $X^{[n-1,n]} \to \mathcal{Z}_n$ and $\phi_n = (f_n, q)$: $X^{[n-1,n]} \to X^{[n-1]} \times X$.

Next, we review some results on the homology groups of $X^{[n]}$ due to Göttsche [Got], Grojnowski [Gro], and Nakajima [Na1]. Let $H = H_0(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H_2(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H_4(X, \mathbb{C})$, and $S = S(H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ be the symmetric algebra over the infinite dimensional complex vector space $H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$. For $\alpha \in H_i(X, \mathbb{C})$ and $k > 0$, let $|\alpha| = i$ and associate to $\alpha \otimes t^{-k}$ a bidegree $(k, 2k - 2 + |\alpha|)$. The results in [Got, Gro, Na1] state that $S \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{+\infty} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{4n} H_k(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{C})$. This identification can be understood as follows. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$, let $\alpha_i \in H_{|\alpha_i|}(X, \mathbb{C})$ be represented by a real submanifold A_i such that $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ are in general position. Then, the element $(\alpha_1 \otimes t^{-n_1}) \ldots (\alpha_k \otimes t^{-n_k}) \in S$ has bidegree

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i, \sum_{i=1}^{k} (2n_i - 2 + |\alpha_i|) \right). \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, up to a scalar multiple, $(\alpha_1 \otimes t^{-n_1}) \ldots (\alpha_k \otimes t^{-n_k})$ is represented by the closure of the real-$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(2n_i - 2 + |\alpha_i|)$-dimensional subset:

$$\{\xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_k \in X^{[n]} | \xi_i \in M_{n_i}(A_i) \text{ and } \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_i) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_j) = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j\} \tag{2.7}$$

where $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i$ and $M_{n_i}(A_i)$ is the subset of $X^{[n_i]}$ defined by (2.3).

In particular, if $\alpha \in H_2(X, \mathbb{C})$ is represented by a real surface C in X and if $D_C \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{\xi \in X^{[n]} \,|\, C \cap \mathrm{Supp}(\xi) \neq \emptyset\}$, then we obtain the homologous relation:

$$D_C \sim 1/(n-1)! \cdot (\alpha \otimes t^{-1})(X \otimes t^{-1})^{n-1} \in S. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that if C is an algebraic curve in X, then D_C is a divisor in $X^{[n]}$. In fact, $\mathcal{O}_{X^{[n]}}(D_C) = (\rho_n)^* \mathcal{L}_C$ where $\mathcal{L}_C$ is the unique line bundle over $X^{(n)}$ satisfying $(\upsilon_n)^* \mathcal{L}_C = \otimes_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i)^* \mathcal{O}_X(C)$. Here λ_i is the projection of X^n to the i-th factor. Thus if $n \geq 2$ and the curve C is an ample divisor on X, then D_C is nef and big, but not ample. By linearity, we extend the notation D_C to any divisor C on X.

When $n \geq 2$, another distinguished divisor on $X^{[n]}$ is defined by

$$B_n \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{\xi \in X^{[n]} \,|\, |\mathrm{Supp}(\xi)| < n\} \sim 1/(n-2)! \cdot (X \otimes t^{-2})(X \otimes t^{-1})^{n-2}. \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let $n \geq 2$ and X be simply-connected. Let real surfaces $\{C_1, \ldots, C_s\}$ form a basis of $H_2(X, \mathbb{C})$. Then $B_n, D_{C_1}, \ldots, D_{C_s}$ form a basis of $H_{4n-2}(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let α_i be the homology class represented by C_i. Expand the basis $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s\}$ of $H_2(X, \mathbb{C})$ to the basis $\{\alpha_0 = x, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s, \alpha_{s+1} = X\}$ of $H = H_0(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H_2(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H_4(X, \mathbb{C})$. By (2.6), a basis of $H_{4n-2}(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{C})$ consists of elements of the form $(\alpha_{m_1} \otimes t^{-n_1}) \ldots (\alpha_{m_k} \otimes t^{-n_k})$ with $n_i \geq 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{k}(2n_i - 2 + |\alpha_{m_i}|) = 4n - 2$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{k}(|\alpha_{m_i}| - 2) = 2n - 2$. Since $|\alpha_{m_i}| \in \{0, 2, 4\}$, either $|\alpha_{m_i}| = 4$ for all i or $|\alpha_{m_{i_0}}| = 2$ for some i_0 and $|\alpha_{m_j}| = 4$ for $j \neq i_0$.

In the first case, $\alpha_{m_i} = X$ for all i. Since $2n - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(4 - 2)$, $k = n - 1$. Since $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i$, $n_1 = 2$ and $n_2 = \ldots = n_{n-1} = 1$ up to an ordering of $n_1, \ldots, n_{n-1}$. So $(\alpha_{m_1} \otimes t^{-n_1}) \ldots (\alpha_{m_k} \otimes t^{-n_k}) \sim (n-2)! \cdot B_n$ by (2.9). In the second case, we may let $i_0 = 1$. Then $2n - 2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(|\alpha_{m_i}| - 2) = 2(k-1) \leq 2n - 2$. So $k = n$, $n_i = 1$ for all i, and $(\alpha_{m_1} \otimes t^{-n_1}) \ldots (\alpha_{m_k} \otimes t^{-n_k}) \sim (n-1)! \cdot D_{C_{m_1}}$ by (2.8). □

3. The nef cone and effective cone of $X^{[n]}$ when $X = \mathbb{P}^2$

In this section, we review some construction in [BSG] and use it to determine the nef cone and effective cone of $X^{[n]}$ when X is the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Definition 3.1. (see [BSG]) A line bundle L on a projective surface X is *k-very ample* if the restriction $H^0(X, L) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_\xi \otimes L)$ is surjective for every $\xi \in X^{[k+1]}$.

The concept of k-very ampleness relates $X^{[k+1]}$ to a Grassmannian as follows. The surjective map in Definition 3.1 represents an element in $\mathrm{Grass}(H^0(X, L), k+1)$. So we get a morphism $\varphi_k(L)$: $X^{[k+1]} \to \mathrm{Grass}(H^0(X, L), k+1)$ when L is k-very ample. Letting $h = h^0(X, L)$ and $\mathfrak{P}$: $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathbb{C}^h, k+1) \to \mathbb{P}((\wedge^{h-(k+1)}\mathbb{C}^h)^*)$ be the Plücker embedding, then we see from the Appendix of [BSG] that

$$(\mathfrak{P} \circ \varphi_k(L))^*\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}_{X^{[k+1]}}\big(D_{c_1(L)} - B_{k+1}/2\big) \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the hyperplane line bundle over the projective space $\mathbb{P}((\wedge^{h-(k+1)}\mathbb{C}^h)^*)$.

Remark 3.3. Let $k \ge 1$ be an integer. By the Theorem 2.1 in [BSG], there exists a very ample divisor C on X such that the line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ is $(k+1)$-very ample. Then by the Main Theorem in [C-G], the morphism $\varphi_k(L)$ is an embedding. By (3.2), the divisor $(D_C - B_{k+1}/2)$ on $X^{[k+1]}$ is very ample.

In the rest of this section, we assume that $X = \mathbb{P}^2$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Then the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is n-very ample.*

Proof. We use the Theorem 2.1 in [BSG]. Let ℓ be a line on $\mathbb{P}^2$, $C = (n+3)\ell$, and $k = n$. Then, $K_X + C = n\ell$ and $C \cdot C = (n+3)^2 > 4n+5$. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_X(n) = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + C)$ is not n-very ample. By the Theorem 2.1 in [BSG], there exists an effective divisor D on X such that $C \cdot D - n - 1 \le D \cdot D < C \cdot D/2 < n+1$. Let $D \sim d\ell$. Then, $d \ge 0$ and $(n+3)d - n - 1 \le d^2 < (n+3)d/2 < n+1$. Since $d^2 < (n+3)d/2$, $d > 0$. Now, $(n+3)d/2 < (n+1)$ implies $d < 2$. So $d = 1$ which contradicts to $(n+3)d - n - 1 \le d^2$. Hence, $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is n-very ample. □

Note that for $k \ge 1$, k-very ampleness implies $(k-1)$-very ampleness. Therefore, by Lemma 3.4, we get the following two morphisms:

$$\varphi_1 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \varphi_{n-1}\big(\mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)\colon\ X^{[n]} \to \mathrm{Grass}\,\big(H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big), n\big), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\varphi_2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \varphi_{n-1}\big(\mathcal{O}_X(n)\big)\colon\ X^{[n]} \to \mathrm{Grass}\,\big(H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)\big), n\big). \tag{3.6}$$

For $i = 1$ or 2, let $\ell_i = h^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-2+i)\big) = \binom{n+i}{2}$, $N_i = \dim(\wedge^{\ell_i - n}\mathbb{C}^{\ell_i}) - 1$, $\mathfrak{P}_i$: $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell_i}, n) \to \mathbb{P}^{N_i}$ be the Plücker embedding, $\Phi_i \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{P}_i \circ \varphi_i$: $X^{[n]} \to \mathbb{P}^{N_i}$, and $\mathcal{H}_i$ be the hyperplane line bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{N_i}$. By (3.2),

$$(\Phi_1)^*\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{O}_{X^{[n]}}\big((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2\big), \quad (\Phi_2)^*\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{O}_{X^{[n]}}(nD_\ell - B_n/2). \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Then the morphism φ_2 in (3.6) is an embedding of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$, and the divisor $(nD_\ell - B_n/2)$ is very ample.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is n-very ample. So by the Main Theorem in [C-G], φ_2 is an embedding. By (3.7), $(nD_\ell - B_n/2)$ is very ample. □

Next, we study $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)$. We begin with a construction. Let $\xi = x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} \in X^{[n-1]}$ where $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ are distinct points in the line $\ell \subset X$. Let $(\ell + \xi)$ be the closure of $\big(\ell - \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)\big) + \xi$ in $X^{[n]}$. Alternatively, consider:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\ell}_\xi \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{X}_\xi \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] \arrow[d] & X^{[n-1,n]} \arrow[r, "g_n"] \arrow[d, "\phi_n"] & X^{[n]} \\
\xi \times \ell \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & \xi \times X \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & X^{[n-1]} \times X &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\widetilde{\ell}_\xi$ and $\widetilde{X}_\xi$ are the strict transforms of $\xi \times \ell$ and $\xi \times X$ in $X^{[n-1,n]}$ respectively. Since ϕ_n is the blowup of $X^{[n-1]} \times X$ along $\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}$ (see the Proposition 2.2 of [ES2]), $\widetilde{X}_\xi$ is isomorphic to the blowup of $\xi \times X \cong X$ at $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. Let E_i be the exceptional divisor in $\widetilde{X}_\xi$ over x_i. Then, $(\phi_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})^*(\xi \times \ell) = \widetilde{\ell}_\xi + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i$ in $A_1(\widetilde{X}_\xi)$. Notice that $g_n(\widetilde{\ell}_\xi) = (\ell + \xi)$ and $g_n(E_i) = M_2(x_i) + x_1 \ldots + x_{i-1} + x_{i+1} + \ldots + x_{n-1}$. In fact, since $g_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi} : \widetilde{X}_\xi \to g_n(\widetilde{X}_\xi)$ is an isomorphism, we have

$$(g_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})_*(\widetilde{\ell}_\xi) = (\ell + \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad (g_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})_*(E_i) = \beta_n \tag{3.10}$$

in $A_1(X^{[n]})$. Here β_n is defined in (1.2). Also, recall β_ℓ from (1.1).

Lemma 3.11. *With the above notations, $(\ell + \xi) = \beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$ in $A_1(X^{[n]})$.*

Proof. Choose another line ℓ' such that $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi) \cap \ell' = \emptyset$. Then in $A_1(X^{[n]})$,

$$(g_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})_*(\phi_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})^*(\xi \times \ell) = (g_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})_*(\phi_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})^*(\xi \times \ell') = (\ell' + \xi) = \beta_{\ell'} = \beta_\ell,$$

$$(g_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})_*(\phi_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})^*(\xi \times \ell) = (g_n|_{\widetilde{X}_\xi})_*(\widetilde{\ell}_\xi + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i) = (\ell + \xi) + (n-1)\beta_n$$

where we have used (3.10). So $(\ell + \xi) = \beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$ in $A_1(X^{[n]})$. $\square$

Proposition 3.12. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and φ_1 be the morphism defined in (3.5).*

(i) *If $n \geq 2$, then the divisor $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)$ on $X^{[n]}$ is nef but not ample.*

(ii) *If $n = 2$, then $\varphi_1 : X^{[2]} \to \mathrm{Grass}\big(H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big), 2\big) = (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ is isomorphic to the relative Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*)$ over the dual space $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$.*

Proof. (i) We have the following intersection numbers:

$$\beta_\ell \cdot D_\ell = 1, \quad \beta_\ell \cdot (-B_n/2) = 0, \quad \beta_n \cdot D_\ell = 0, \quad \beta_n \cdot (-B_n/2) = 1 \tag{3.13}$$

where the last one follows from the Claim 2 in the Appendix of [BSG]. It follows that $\big((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2\big) \cdot (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) = 0$. By Lemma 3.11, $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ is rationally equivalent to an effective curve. So $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)$ is not ample. By (3.7), the divisor $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)$ is nef.

(ii) When $n = 2$, $\varphi_1 = \varphi_1\big(\mathcal{O}_X(1)\big)$: $X^{[2]} \to \mathrm{Grass}\big(H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)\big), 2\big) = (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. An element $\xi \in X^{[2]}$ determines a unique line ℓ_ξ in X and $\varphi_1(\xi) = \ell_\xi \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. So φ_1 is a surjection whose fiber over a line $\ell \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ is $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(\ell) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2(\ell) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Note that $\{(x, \ell) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^* |\ x \in \ell\} \cong \mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)$. So $\varphi_1 : X^{[2]} \to (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ is isomorphic to the relative Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*)$ over $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. $\square$

Theorem 3.14. *Let $n \ge 2$ and ℓ be a line in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$.*

(i) *The nef cone of $X^{[n]}$ is the cone spanned by D_ℓ and $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)$;*

(ii) *The effective cone of $X^{[n]}$ is the cone spanned by β_n and $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$;*

(iii) *Let β be the homology class of an effective curve in the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$. Then, $\beta \cdot (nD_\ell - B_n/2) = 1$ if and only if either $\beta = \beta_n$ or $\beta = (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$.*

Proof. (i) Note that D_ℓ is nef and big, but not ample (see the paragraph containing (2.8)). By Proposition 3.12, $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)$ is also nef but not ample. By the Theorem 1.1 (i) in [ES1] and the results in [Fo2, BSG], the divisors $(-B_n/2)$ and D_ℓ form a basis for $A_{2n-1}(X^{[n]}) \cong H_{4n-2}(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$. So the nef cone of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ is the cone spanned by D_ℓ and $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)$.

(ii) Since $(-B_n/2)$ and D_ℓ form a basis for $H_{4n-2}(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$, we see from (3.13) and the Poincaré duality that $\{\beta_\ell, \beta_n\}$ is a basis for $H_2(X^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$. By (1.2) and Lemma 3.11, both β_n and $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ are numerical equivalence classes of effective curves. By (3.13) again, $\beta_n \cdot D_\ell = 0$, $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) \cdot D_\ell = 1$, $\beta_n \cdot ((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2) = 1$, $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) \cdot ((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2) = 0$. It follows from (i) that the effective cone of $X^{[n]}$ is spanned by β_n and $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$.

(iii) Let $\beta = a\beta_n + b(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$. Intersecting β with the nef divisors D_ℓ and $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)$, we see that a and b are nonnegative integers. Since $(nD_\ell - B_n/2)$ is very ample and $\beta_n \cdot (nD_\ell - B_n/2) = (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) \cdot (nD_\ell - B_n/2) = 1$, we conclude that $\beta \cdot (nD_\ell - B_n/2) = 1$ if and only if $\beta = \beta_n$ or $\beta = (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$. □

4. Curves homologous to β_n

In this section, X stands for an arbitrary simply-connected smooth projective surface (not just $\mathbb{P}^2$). We shall determine all the curves in the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ homologous to β_n, and study their moduli space.

First of all, we study curves γ in the punctual Hilbert scheme $M_n(x) \subset X^{[n]}$ such that $\gamma \sim \beta_n$. We shall establish some notations, and prove three technical lemmas. We identify $M_n(x)$ with $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ throughout this section. Let $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}$ be the local ring of $\mathbb{C}^2$ at the origin, and $\mathfrak{m} = (u, v)$ be the maximal ideal of R where u, v form a coordinate system of $\mathbb{C}^2$ at the origin. Let $\eta \in \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. It is known (see [Iar]) that $I_{\eta,0} \supset \mathfrak{m}^n$ and there is an embedding τ: $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to \mathrm{Grass}(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, n)$ where $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ is considered as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of dimension $\binom{n+1}{2}$, and τ maps $\eta \in \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ to the quotient $R/\mathfrak{m}^n \to R/I_{\eta,0} = \mathcal{O}_{\eta,0} \to 0$. For simplicity, put $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{Grass}(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, n)$ and $\ell_1 = \binom{n+1}{2}$. Then over $\mathbb{G}$, there are a universal quotient bundle $\mathcal{Q}$, a universal subbundle $\mathcal{S}$ and an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{S} \to (R/\mathfrak{m}^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0. \tag{4.1}$$

It is known that $\det(\mathcal{Q})$ induces the Plücker embedding $\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{P}((\wedge^{\ell_1-n}\mathbb{C}^{\ell_1})^*)$. So if $\mathcal{H}$ is the hyperplane line bundle over $\mathbb{P}((\wedge^{\ell_1-n}\mathbb{C}^{\ell_1})^*)$, then $\det(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathfrak{p}^*\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n \ge 2$. Fix a point $x \in X$ and a curve $\gamma \subset M_n(x)$. Regard $\gamma \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ via the identification $M_n(x) \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. Then, $\gamma \sim \beta_n$ if and only if $\gamma \cdot B_n = -2$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \circ \tau(\gamma)$ is a line.*

Proof. Consider the rank-n vector bundle $p_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}$ over $X^{[n]}$. Following the similar argument as in the proof of the Claim 2 in the Appendix in [BSG], we can show that $(p_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n})|_{M_n(x)}$ is a quotient of the trivial bundle $(\mathcal{O}_{M_n(x)})^{\oplus \ell_1}$ where $\ell_1 = \binom{n+1}{2}$. The fiber of $(p_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n})|_{M_n(x)}$ over $\eta \in M_n(x) \subset X^{[n]}$ is $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_\eta) = \mathcal{O}_{\eta,x}$. Since the fiber of $\tau^*\mathcal{Q}$ over $\eta \in \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\eta,0}$, we see from the universality of the quotient bundle that $(p_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n})|_{M_n(x)} \cong \tau^*\mathcal{Q}$. It is known from the Appendix in [BSG] that $c_1(p_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}) = -B_n/2$. Combining with $\det(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathfrak{p}^*\mathcal{H}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \cdot B_n &= -2\gamma \cdot c_1(p_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}) = -2\gamma \cdot c_1((p_{n*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n})|_{M_n(x)}) \\ &= -2\gamma \cdot c_1(\tau^*\mathcal{Q}) = -2\gamma \cdot \tau^*\mathfrak{p}^* c_1(\mathcal{H}) = -2(\mathfrak{p} \circ \tau)(\gamma) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{H}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Next, since $\gamma \subset M_n(x)$, we have $\gamma \cdot D_C = 0 = \beta_n \cdot D_C$ for every real surface C in X. By Lemma 2.10 and the Poincaré duality, $\gamma \sim \beta_n$ if and only if $\gamma \cdot B_n = -2 = \beta_n \cdot B_n$. By (4.3), $\gamma \cdot B_n = -2$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \circ \tau(\gamma)$ is a line. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $k = n(n-1)/2$, and $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{G} = \mathrm{Grass}(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, n)$ be a curve. Then, $\mathbb{L}$ is mapped to a line by $\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\mathbb{L}$ is of the form*

$$\mathbb{L} = \{\mathrm{Span}(\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_{k-1}, \lambda\overline{f}_k + \mu\overline{f}_{k+1}) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0\} \tag{4.5}$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_{k+1} \in R$ are polynomials such that $\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_{k+1}$ are linearly independent in the vector space $R/\mathfrak{m}^n \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+k}$.

Proof. From the definition of $\mathfrak{p}$, it is clear that if $\mathbb{L}$ is of the form (4.5), then $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{L})$ is a line. Conversely, assume that $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{L})$ is a line. We see from $\det(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathfrak{p}^*\mathcal{H}$ that $\det(\mathcal{Q}|_{\mathbb{L}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(1)$. Restricting (4.1) to $\mathbb{L}$, we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{S}|_{\mathbb{L}} \to (R/\mathfrak{m}^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathcal{Q}|_{\mathbb{L}} \to 0. \tag{4.6}$$

So $\det(\mathcal{S}|_{\mathbb{L}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(-1)$. From the injection $\mathcal{S}|_{\mathbb{L}} \to (R/\mathfrak{m}^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$, we obtain $\mathcal{S}|_{\mathbb{L}} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(a_i)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i = -1$ and $a_i \le 0$. Thus $\mathcal{S}|_{\mathbb{L}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}^{\oplus(k-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(-1)$. Now the injection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}^{\oplus(k-1)} \to (R/\mathfrak{m}^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$ gives rise to linearly independent elements $\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_{k-1}$ in $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$. The injection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(-1) \to (R/\mathfrak{m}^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$ gives rise to two linearly independent elements $\overline{f}_k$ and $\overline{f}_{k+1}$ in $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ such that $\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_{k-1}, \lambda\overline{f}_k + \mu\overline{f}_{k+1}$ are linearly independent when $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$. So $\mathbb{L}$ is of the form (4.5). $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $x \in X$ and $\eta \in M_{n+1}(x)$. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in M_n(x)$ and $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$. If $\xi_1, \xi_2 \subset \eta$, then $I_{\eta,x} \supset \mathfrak{m}_x^n$ where $\mathfrak{m}_x$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.*

Proof. We have $I_{\eta,x} \subset I_{\xi_1,x} \cap I_{\xi_2,x} \subset I_{\xi_2,x}$ and $I_{\xi_1,x} \cap I_{\xi_2,x} \neq I_{\xi_2,x}$. Since the colength of $I_{\eta,x}$ is $n+1$ and the colength of $I_{\xi_2,x}$ is n, we obtain $I_{\eta,x} = I_{\xi_1,x} \cap I_{\xi_2,x}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_x^n \subset I_{\xi_1,x}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_x^n \subset I_{\xi_2,x}$, we get $\mathfrak{m}_x^n \subset I_{\xi_1,x} \cap I_{\xi_2,x} = I_{\eta,x}$. $\square$

Now we are able to characterize all the curves γ in the punctual Hilbert scheme $M_n(x)$ which is homologous to β_n. By the Proposition 2.1 of [ES2], the fiber $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$ over $(\eta, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1} \subset X^{[n+1]} \times X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\omega_{\eta_x} \otimes \mathbb{C}_x)$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $n \ge 2$, and X be simply-connected. Let $x \in X$, and γ be a curve in $M_n(x)$. Then, $\gamma \sim \beta_n$ if and only if $\gamma = f_{n+1}(C)$ where C is a line in the fiber $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x) \cong \mathbb{P}(\omega_\eta \otimes \mathbb{C}_x)$ for some $\eta \in M_{n+1}(x)$.*

Proof. (i) Fix the identification $M_n(x) \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ in (2.4). Let C be a line in $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x) \cong \mathbb{P}(\omega_\eta \otimes \mathbb{C}_x)$ for some $\eta \in M_{n+1}(x)$. By Lemma 4.7, $I_{\eta,x} \supset \mathfrak{m}^n$.

Choose polynomials $f_1, \ldots , f_{k-1} \in I_\eta$ such that $\overline{f}_1, \ldots , \overline{f}_{k-1}$ form a basis of the $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace $I_{\eta,x}/\mathfrak{m}^n \subset R/\mathfrak{m}^n$. Now, $I_{\eta,x} = \mathbb{C}f_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{C}f_{k-1} + \mathfrak{m}^n$. So $I_\eta = I_{\eta,x} \cap \mathbb{C}[u, v] = \mathbb{C}f_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{C}f_{k-1} + (u, v)^n$.

Next, since $\mathbb{P}(\omega_\eta \otimes \mathbb{C}_x) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_\eta)^*)$, the line C in $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_\eta)^*)$ is generated by two different points $[\epsilon_1], [\epsilon_2] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_\eta)^*)$ (so that the two morphisms $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_\eta) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{O}_\eta)$ are linearly independent). Choose $f_k, f_{k+1} \in R$ such that $[f_k] = \epsilon_1(1)$ and $[f_{k+1}] = \epsilon_2(1)$ in $R/I_{\eta,x}$. Note that $\overline{f}_1, \ldots , \overline{f}_{k+1} \in R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ are linearly independent.

Let $\xi \in \gamma = f_{n+1}(C)$, and assume that the point $(\xi, \eta) \in C$ corresponds to $[\lambda\epsilon_1 + \mu\epsilon_2] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_\eta)^*)$. Then we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_x \xrightarrow{\lambda\epsilon_1+\mu\epsilon_2} \mathcal{O}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\xi \longrightarrow 0.$$

So $I_\xi = I_\eta + \mathbb{C}(\lambda f_k + \mu f_{k+1}) = \mathbb{C}f_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{C}f_{k-1} + \mathbb{C}(\lambda f_k + \mu f_{k+1}) + (u, v)^n$. Thus $\tau(\gamma) = \mathbb{L}$ which is of the form (4.5). By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.2, $\gamma \sim \beta_n$.

(ii) Conversely, assume $\gamma \sim \beta_n$. By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4, $\tau(\gamma) = \mathbb{L}$ which is of the form (4.5). Let $u: R/\mathfrak{m}^n \to R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ be the map defined by multiplication with u. Let $\varphi_u: R/\mathfrak{m}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L} \to R/\mathfrak{m}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}$ be the induced morphism, and consider the restriction of φ_u to the subbundle $\mathcal{S}|_\mathbb{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}^{\oplus(k-1)}$ in (4.6). Since $\mathbb{L} = \tau(\gamma)$, $\mathrm{Span}(\overline{f}_1, \ldots , \overline{f}_{k-1}, \lambda\overline{f}_k + \mu\overline{f}_{k+1})$ is an ideal of $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ whenever $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$. So we see from the proof of Lemma 4.4 that φ_u maps $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}^{\oplus(k-1)}$ to itself. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1)) = 0$, φ_u maps $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}^{\oplus(k-1)}$ to $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}^{\oplus(k-1)}$. The same statement holds when we replace u by v. Hence, $\mathrm{Span}(\overline{f}_1, \ldots , \overline{f}_{k-1})$ is an ideal of $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$, and $\mathbb{C}f_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{C}f_{k-1} + \mathfrak{m}^n$ is an ideal of R with colength $n + 1$. Let $\eta \in M_{n+1}(x)$ be defined by the ideal $I_\eta \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}f_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{C}f_{k-1} + (u, v)^n$.

Since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1), \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1)}$, we have $\varphi_u|_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1)} = c \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1)}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $(\varphi_u|_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1)})^n = c^n \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1)}$. However, $(\varphi_u)^n = 0$ since $u^n \in \mathfrak{m}^n$. So $c = 0$, and φ_u maps $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}^{\oplus(k-1)}$ to $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{L}^{\oplus(k-1)}$. In particular, $u\overline{f}_k, u\overline{f}_{k+1} \in \mathrm{Span}(\overline{f}_1, \ldots , \overline{f}_{k-1})$. Hence $uf_k, uf_{k+1} \in I_\eta$. Similarly, $vf_k, vf_{k+1} \in I_\eta$.

Define two R-morphisms $R \to \mathcal{O}_\eta$ by sending $1 \in R$ to $[f_k], [f_{k+1}] \in \mathcal{O}_\eta$ respectively. They induce two R-morphisms $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2: \mathbb{C}_x = R/\mathfrak{m} \to \mathcal{O}_\eta$ since uf_k, uf_{k+1}, vf_k, $vf_{k+1} \in I_\eta$. Since $\overline{f}_1, \ldots , \overline{f}_{k-1}, \overline{f}_k, \overline{f}_{k+1} \in R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ are linearly independent, so are the two morphisms $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_\eta)$. Let C be the line in $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_\eta)^*)$ generated by the points $[\epsilon_1], [\epsilon_2] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_\eta)^*)$. As in the last paragraph in part (i) above, the points in $f_{n+1}(C)$ correspond to the ideals $\mathbb{C}f_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{C}f_{k-1} + \mathbb{C}(\lambda f_k + \mu f_{k+1}) + (u, v)^n$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$. These same ideals define the points in γ since $\tau(\gamma) = \mathbb{L}$ is given by (4.5). So $\gamma = f_{n+1}(C)$. $\square$

Next, we study an arbitrary curve $\gamma \subset X^{[n]}$ homologous to β_n.

Lemma 4.9. (i) *A curve $\gamma \subset X^{[n]}$ homologous to β_n is a smooth rational curve;*

(ii) *A curve $\gamma \subset X^{[n]}$ is homologous to β_n if and only if $\gamma = \gamma_k + \xi$ where $2 \le k \le n$, γ_k is a curve in the punctual Hilbert scheme $M_k(x)$ for some $x \in X$, $\gamma_k \sim \beta_k$ in $X^{[k]}$, and $\xi \in X^{[n-k]}$ is a fixed point with $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$.*

Proof. (i) By Remark 3.3, $(D_C - B_n/2)$ is very ample for some divisor C on X. Since $\gamma \cdot (D_C - B_n/2) = \beta_n \cdot (D_C - B_n/2) = 1$, γ is a smooth rational curve.

(ii) First of all, assume $\gamma \sim \beta_n$. Since γ is irreducible and $\gamma \cdot D_C = \beta_n \cdot D_C = 0$, $\rho_n(\gamma)$ is a point in $X^{(n)}$. Let $\rho_n(\gamma) = n_1x_1 + \ldots + n_mx_m$ where $n_i \ge 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i = n$, and $x_1, \ldots , x_m$ are distinct points. Then γ is contained in the product $\prod_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(x_i) \subset X^{[n]}$. Since $M_{n_i}(x_i) \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^{n_i}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, $H_1(M_{n_i}(x_i), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by the Theorem 1.1 (i) of [ES1]. By the Künneth formula, $\gamma \sim \sum_{i=1}^m (p_i(\gamma) + \xi_i)$ in $\prod_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(x_i)$, where p_i is the i-th projection of $\prod_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(x_i)$, and $\xi_i \in X^{[n-n_i]}$ with $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi_i) \cap \mathrm{Supp}\,\big(p_i(\gamma)\big) = \emptyset$. So $1 = (D_C - B_n/2) \cdot \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^m (D_C - B_n/2) \cdot (p_i(\gamma) + \xi_i)$. Since $(D_C - B_n/2)$ is very ample, there exists exactly one i such that $p_i(\gamma)$ is a curve in $X^{[n_i]}$ and $p_j(\gamma)$ is a point in $X^{[n_j]}$ for all $j \ne i$. We may assume $i = 1$. Let $k = n_1$ and $x = x_1$. Then $\gamma = \gamma_k + \xi$ where $\gamma_k \subset M_k(x)$ and $\xi \in X^{[n-k]}$ is fixed with $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$. It remains to prove $\gamma_k \sim \beta_k$ in $X^{[k]}$.

Claim. *Let $\tilde{x} \in X$ be a fixed point, and $\alpha \subset X^{[n-1]}$ be a curve such that $\tilde{x} \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$ for every $\xi \in \alpha$. Then, $(\alpha + \tilde{x}) \cdot B_n = \alpha \cdot B_{n-1}$.*

Proof. Define $\widetilde{\alpha} = \{\, (\xi, \xi + \tilde{x}) \,|\, \xi \in \alpha\} \subset X^{[n-1,n]}$. Since $\tilde{x} \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\alpha)$, the restriction $g_n|_{\widetilde{\alpha}} : \widetilde{\alpha} \to \alpha + \tilde{x}$ is an isomorphism. So $(g_n)_*\widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha + \tilde{x}$. Similarly, $(f_n)_*\widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha$. Let $E_n = \{(\xi, \eta) \in X^{[n-1,n]} \,|\, \mathrm{Supp}(\xi) = \mathrm{Supp}(\eta), \xi \subset \eta\}$. Since $\tilde{x} \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\alpha)$, we obtain $\widetilde{\alpha} \cap E_n = \emptyset$. Since $((g_n)^*B_n - (f_n)^*B_{n-1}) = 2E_n$ (see [Leh]), we have $\widetilde{\alpha} \cdot ((g_n)^*B_n - (f_n)^*B_{n-1}) = 0$. Therefore, we obtain $(\alpha + \tilde{x}) \cdot B_n = (g_n)_*\widetilde{\alpha} \cdot B_n = \widetilde{\alpha} \cdot (g_n)^*B_n = \widetilde{\alpha} \cdot (f_n)^*B_{n-1} = (f_n)_*\widetilde{\alpha} \cdot B_{n-1} = \alpha \cdot B_{n-1}$. □

By deforming ξ in $X^{[n-k]}$, we can assume that ξ is $\tilde{x}_1 + \ldots + \tilde{x}_{n-k}$ where $\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n-k}$ are distinct points different from x. From the Claim, we get

$$(\gamma_k + \tilde{x}_1 + \ldots + \tilde{x}_{n-k}) \cdot B_n = (\gamma_k + \tilde{x}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{x}_{n-k-1}) \cdot B_{n-1} = \ldots = \gamma_k \cdot B_k. \quad (4.10)$$

So $\gamma_k \cdot B_k = \gamma \cdot B_n = \beta_n \cdot B_n = -2$. Since $\gamma_k \subset M_k(x)$, $\gamma_k \sim \beta_k$ by Lemma 4.2.

Conversely, suppose $\gamma = \gamma_k + \xi$ where $2 \le k \le n$, $\gamma_k \subset M_k(x)$ for some $x \in X$, $\gamma_k \sim \beta_k$ in $X^{[k]}$, and $\xi \in X^{[n-k]}$ is fixed with $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$. We may assume that ξ consists of $(n-k)$ distinct points. By (4.10) and (3.13), $\gamma \cdot B_n = \gamma_k \cdot B_k = \beta_k \cdot B_k = -2$. Then the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 yields $\gamma \sim \beta_n$. □

Theorem 4.11. *Let $n \ge 2$, and X be a simply-connected smooth projective surface. Then, a curve γ in $X^{[n]}$ is homologous to β_n if and only if $\gamma = f_{n+1}(C)$ where C is a line in the projective space $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$ for some $(\eta, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$. Moreover, in this case, (η, x) and C are uniquely determined by γ.*

Proof. (i) First, assume $\gamma \sim \beta_n$. By Lemma 4.9 (ii), we know that $\gamma = \gamma_k + \xi$ where $\gamma_k \subset M_k(x)$ for some $x \in X$, $\xi \in X^{[n-k]}$ is fixed with $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$, and $\gamma_k \sim \beta_k$ in $X^{[k]}$. By Proposition 4.8, $\gamma_k = f_{k+1}(\widetilde{C})$ where $\widetilde{C}$ is a line in $(\psi_{k+1})^{-1}(\eta_{k+1}, x)$ for some $\eta_{k+1} \in M_{k+1}(x)$. Since $\mathrm{Supp}(\eta_{k+1}) = \{x\} \not\subset \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$, we choose $\eta \overset{\text{def}}{=} \eta_{k+1} + \xi \in X^{[n+1]}$ and $(\eta, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$. By the definition of ψ_{n+1} in Notation 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x) = \{(\zeta, \eta) \in X^{[n,n+1]} \,|\, \zeta \subset \eta \text{ and } \mathrm{Supp}(I_\zeta/I_\eta) = x\} \\
&= \{(\zeta_k + \xi, \eta_{k+1} + \xi) \in X^{[n,n+1]} \,|\, \zeta_k \subset \eta_{k+1}\} \\
&\cong \{(\zeta_k, \eta_{k+1}) \in X^{[k,k+1]} \,|\, \zeta_k \subset \eta_{k+1}\} = (\psi_{k+1})^{-1}(\eta_{k+1}, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Put $C = \{(\zeta_k + \xi, \eta_{k+1} + \xi) | (\zeta_k, \eta_{k+1}) \in \widetilde{C}\} = \{(\zeta_k + \xi, \eta) | (\zeta_k, \eta_{k+1}) \in \widetilde{C}\}$, i.e., C is the line in $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$ corresponding to the line $\widetilde{C}$ in $(\psi_{k+1})^{-1}(\eta_{k+1}, x)$ via the above isomorphism $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x) \cong (\psi_{k+1})^{-1}(\eta_{k+1}, x)$. Then, we see that $\gamma = \gamma_k + \xi = f_{k+1}(\widetilde{C}) + \xi = f_{n+1}(C)$.

Conversely, suppose $\gamma = f_{n+1}(C)$ where C is a line in $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$ for some $(\eta, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$. Assume $\ell(\eta_x) = k+1$. Let $\eta_{k+1} = \eta_x \in X^{[k+1]}$. Reversing the argument in the preceding paragraph and using Lemma 4.9 (ii), we get $\gamma \sim \beta_n$.

(ii) To show the uniqueness of (η, x) and C, let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \gamma$ with $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$. Then, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \subset \eta$. Since $\ell(\eta) = 1+\ell(\xi_1) = 1+\ell(\xi_2)$, we get $I_\eta = I_{\xi_1} \cap I_{\xi_2}$. So η and hence the point x are uniquely determined by γ. Since $f_{n+1}|_{(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta,x)} : (\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x) \to f_{n+1}((\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x))$ is an isomorphism, C is also uniquely determined by γ. □

Our next goal is to give a global description of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_n)$ of all the curves in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to β_n. Note that $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_n)$ is the union of certain irreducible components in the Hilbert scheme of curves in $X^{[n]}$. We shall use the concept of the relative Grassmannian scheme (see [Sim]).

Theorem 4.12. *Let $n \geq 2$, X be a simply-connected smooth projective surface, and $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}$ be the dualizing sheaf of $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1} \subset X^{[n+1]} \times X$. Then, there exists a bijective morphism from the relative Grassmannian* $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)$ *over $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$ to the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_n)$ of all the curves in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to β_n.*

Proof. Put $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}} = \mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)$ for simplicity. Define $\Gamma = \{([C], (\xi, \eta)) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \times X^{[n,n+1]} |\ C$ is a line in $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$ and $(\xi, \eta) \in C$ with $\mathrm{Supp}(I_\xi/I_\eta) = \{x\}\}$. By the Proposition 2.1 of [ES2], $\mathbb{P}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}) \cong X^{[n,n+1]}$ over $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$. So Γ is the universal subscheme in $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \times_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}} \mathbb{P}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}})$ which is flat over $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$. Consider

$$\alpha : \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \times_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}} X^{[n,n+1]} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \times X^{[n,n+1]} \overset{\mathrm{Id}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}} \times f_{n+1}}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \times X^{[n]}.$$

Note that the fiber of $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$ over $(\eta, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$ is $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}|_{(\eta,x)} = \mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\eta_x} \otimes \mathbb{C}_x, 2)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma|_{(\eta,x)} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}|_{(\eta,x)} \times \mathbb{P}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}})|_{(\eta,x)} &= \mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\eta_x} \otimes \mathbb{C}_x, 2) \times \mathbb{P}(\omega_{\eta_x} \otimes \mathbb{C}_x) \\ &= \mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\eta_x} \otimes \mathbb{C}_x, 2) \times (\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Since f_{n+1} maps $(\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$ isomorphically to $f_{n+1}((\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x))$, we see that $\alpha|_\Gamma : \Gamma \to \alpha(\Gamma)$ is bijective. Moreover, assuming that $q \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$ is mapped to (η, x) via the natural projection $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \to \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$ and q corresponds to the line

$$\{q\} \times C \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Gamma|_q \subset \{q\} \times \mathbb{P}(\omega_{\eta_x} \otimes \mathbb{C}_x) = \{q\} \times (\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x)$$

via the universal subscheme $\Gamma \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \times_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}} \mathbb{P}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}})$, then we see from (4.13) that the same point $q \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$ also corresponds to the curve

$$\alpha(\Gamma)|_q = \alpha(\Gamma|_q) = \alpha(\{q\} \times C) = \{q\} \times f_{n+1}(C) \subset \{q\} \times f_{n+1}((\psi_{n+1})^{-1}(\eta, x))$$

via the subscheme $\alpha(\Gamma) \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \times X^{[n]}$. By Theorem 4.11, we conclude that via the subscheme $\alpha(\Gamma) \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \times X^{[n]}$ which is flat over $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$, the relative Grassmannian $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$ parameterizes curves in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to β_n. By the universal property of the Hilbert scheme of curves, we obtain a morphism $\Lambda : \widetilde{\mathbb{G}} \to \mathfrak{M}(\beta_n)$. Moreover, we see from Theorem 4.11 that the morphism Λ is bijective. □

Finally, we study a top stratum in $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)$. Let $x \in X$ and $\xi = x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-2} \in X^{[n-2]}$ be fixed such that $x, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}$ are distinct points. Then the curve $M_2(x) + \xi$ is homologous to β_n. The set of all such curves has dimension

$$\#(\text{moduli of } x) + \#(\text{moduli of } \xi) = 2 + 2(n-2) = 2n-2.$$

We shall prove that this set is the top stratum in $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)$. For this purpose, we now give a more detailed geometric description of this set as follows. Note that $\mathfrak{m}_x^2$ is the only colength-3 ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ whose minimal number of generators is 3. Let $\theta(x)$ be the unique length-3 0-dimensional subscheme of X corresponding to $\mathfrak{m}_x^2$. Let $\Delta_{n-1} = \{(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}) \in X^{n-1} | x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2} \text{ are not distinct}\}$. Define $\Psi : X^{n-1} - \Delta_{n-1} \to X^{[n+1]}$ by $\Psi(x, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}) = \theta(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} x_i$. Let $\theta(x) + \xi \in \mathrm{Im}(\Psi)$ where $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} x_i$. Then, $(\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(\theta(x) + \xi)$ consists of $(n-1)$ distinct points in $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$: $(\theta(x) + \xi, x)$, $(\theta(x) + \xi, x_i)$, $1 \le i \le n-2$. Note that $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\theta(x)+\xi,x)} = \mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\theta(x)} \otimes \mathbb{C}_x, 2) \cong \mathrm{Grass}(\mathbb{C}^2, 2) = pt$, where we have used (3.5) in [ES2]. In fact, $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\theta(x)+\xi,x)}$ is the point in $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)$ corresponding to the curve $M_2(x) + \xi$ in $X^{[n]}$. Also, $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\theta(x)+\xi,x_i)} \cong \mathrm{Grass}(\mathbb{C}, 2) = \emptyset$. So $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Psi))}$ is exactly the subset of $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)$ described at the beginning of this paragraph.

Proposition 4.14. (i) *The subset* $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Psi))}$ *has dimension equal to* $(2n-2)$*, and is a top stratum inside* $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)$*;*

(ii) *Let* $\gamma = M_2(x) + x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-2}$ *where* $x, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}$ *are distinct points in* X*. Then the normal bundle* $N_{\gamma \subset X^{[n]}}$ *of* γ *in* $X^{[n]}$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathcal{O}_\gamma^{\oplus(2n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_\gamma(-2)$*.*

Proof. (i) We need to show that the complement of $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Psi))}$ has dimension less than $(2n-2)$. Let $(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1} - (\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Psi))$ such that $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\xi,x)} \ne \emptyset$. Then, $\ell(\xi_x) \ge 3$; moreover, when $\ell(\xi_x) = 3$, we must have $\xi_x = \theta(x)$. Since $\xi = \pi_{n+1}(\xi, x) \notin \mathrm{Im}(\Psi)$, either $\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} \ell(\xi_x) \ge 4$ or $\xi_x = \theta(x)$ and $\xi = \theta(x) + \xi'$ where $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\xi')$, $\xi' \in X^{[n-2]}$ and $|\mathrm{Supp}(\xi')| \le (n-3)$. Let S_1 and S_2 consist of all $(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+1} - (\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Psi))$ satisfying these two types of conditions respectively. Now the dimension of $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{S_2}$ is at most

$$\#(\text{moduli of } x) + \#(\text{moduli of } \xi') = 2 + [2(n-3)+1] < 2n-2$$

since $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\theta(x)+\xi',x)}$ is a point when $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\xi')$. To estimate the dimension of $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{S_1}$, let $i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_{\xi_x})$. Then, $i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\omega_\xi \otimes \mathbb{C}_x)$ by the formula (3.5) in [ES2] and the Lemma 2 in [E-L]. Using the Lemma 7 in [E-L], we conclude that the dimension of $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{S_1}$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim(S_1) + \dim \mathrm{Grass}(\omega_\xi \otimes \mathbb{C}_x, 2) \\ \le &\big[2 + \big(\ell - 1 - 2(i-1)\big) + 2(n+1-\ell)\big] + 2(i-2) \\ = &2n - \ell + 1 < 2n-2 \end{aligned}$$

since $\ell = \ell(\xi_x) \ge 4$ for $(\xi, x) \in S_1$. Therefore, the complement of the subset $\mathrm{Grass}(\omega_{\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}}, 2)|_{(\pi_{n+1})^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Psi))}$ has dimension less than $(2n-2)$.

(ii) In an analytic open neighborhood of γ, $X^{[n]}$ splits into $X^{[2]} \times X^{[n-2]}$. So $N_{\gamma \subset X^{[n]}} \cong N \oplus \mathcal{O}_\gamma^{\oplus(2n-4)}$ where N is isomorphic to the normal bundle $\widetilde{N}$ of $M_2(x)$ in $X^{[2]}$ via $\gamma \cong M_2(x)$. Since $\widetilde{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M_2(x)}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{M_2(x)}(-2)$, our result follows. □

By Proposition 4.14 (i) and Theorem 4.12, the dimension of $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_n)$ is $(2n-2)$, one more than the expected dimension $c_1(T_{X^{[n]}})\cdot\beta_n+\dim X^{[n]}-\dim \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) = (2n-3)$. Therefore the moduli space of curves in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to β_n is obstructed.

5. Curves homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$ when $X = \mathbb{P}^2$

In this section, we take $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. We shall characterize all the curves in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to the class $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$. In addition, we shall compute the normal bundles of these curves in $X^{[n]}$, and describe their moduli space.

First of all, we describe some curves in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let C be a line in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and consider $C^{(n)} = \mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \subset X^{[n]}$.*

(i) *Every line in $C^{(n)} \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ is homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$;*

(ii) $\mathcal{O}_{X^{[n]}}(D_\ell)|_{C^{(n)}} = \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}(1)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in C$ be distinct, and put $\gamma = C + (x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1})$. Then γ is a line in the projective space $C^{(n)} \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. By Lemma 3.11, $\gamma \sim \beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$. So every line in $C^{(n)} \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ is homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$.

(ii) Follows from $\gamma \cdot D_\ell|_{C^{(n)}} = \gamma \cdot D_\ell = (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) \cdot D_\ell = 1$. $\square$

Next we shall prove the converse of Lemma 5.1. Let γ be a curve in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$. Then, $\gamma \cdot (nD_\ell - B_n/2) = (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) \cdot (nD_\ell - B_n/2) = 1$. By Lemma 3.8, $(nD_\ell - B_n/2)$ is very ample. So γ is a smooth rational curve. Let p_1 be the projection from X^n to the first factor. Let C_γ be the union of all the curves in $p_1\big(\upsilon_n^{-1}\big(\rho_n(\gamma)\big)\big)$ where ρ_n and υ_n are defined in (2.2).

Lemma 5.2. *With the notations as above, C_γ is a line in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. Suppose $\deg(C_\gamma) \ge 2$. Take a point $\xi \in \gamma$ and a smooth point $x \in C_\gamma$ such that $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$. Since $x \in C_\gamma \subset p_1\big(\upsilon_n^{-1}\big(\rho_n(\gamma)\big)\big)$, there exists $\xi_x \in \gamma$ such that $\rho_n(\xi_x) = n_x x + \eta_x$ where $n_x \ge 1$, $\eta_x \in X^{(n-n_x)}$, and $x \notin \mathrm{Supp}(\eta_x)$. Choose a line ℓ in X missing $\mathrm{Supp}(\eta_x) \cup \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$, passing x, and intersecting C_γ transversally. Then the intersection $\ell \cap C_\gamma$ is a finite set. Since $\deg(C_\gamma) \ge 2$, $\ell \cap C_\gamma$ contains one more point $y \ne x$. Hence there exists $\xi_y \in \gamma$ with $y \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_y)$. Thus $\xi_x, \xi_y \in \gamma \cap D_\ell$. Since $y \ne x$, $y \in \ell$ and ℓ misses $\mathrm{Supp}(\eta_x)$, we have $y \notin \{x\} \cup \mathrm{Supp}(\eta_x) = \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_x)$. So $\xi_x \ne \xi_y$. Since $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi) \cap \ell = \emptyset$, we have $\xi \notin D_\ell$. Since $\xi \in \gamma$, γ is not contained in D_ℓ. So $\gamma \cap D_\ell$ is a finite set of points. Since $\xi_x, \xi_y \in \gamma \cap D_\ell$ and $\xi_x \ne \xi_y$, we obtain $\gamma \cdot D_\ell \ge 2$, a contradiction to $\gamma \cdot D_\ell = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *With the notations as above, let p_γ be a linear homogeneous polynomial defining the line $C_\gamma \subset X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Then, any degree-$(n-1)$ homogeneous polynomial divisible by p_γ must vanish at ξ for every $\xi \in \gamma$.*

Proof. Let V_{p_γ} be the subspace of $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$ consisting of all the degree-$(n-1)$ homogeneous polynomials divisible by p_γ. Recall from (3.5) that φ_1 sends $\xi \in X^{[n]}$ to the $n(n-1)/2$-dimensional subspace $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1) \otimes I_\xi)$ of $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$. Since $\gamma \cdot \big((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2\big) = (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) \cdot \big((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2\big) = 0$, γ is contracted by φ_1. So the subspaces $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1) \otimes I_\xi) \subset H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$ are independent of $\xi \in \gamma$. Let $V_\gamma = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1) \otimes I_\xi)$ where $\xi \in \gamma$. It suffices to prove that $V_\gamma = V_{p_\gamma}$ as subspaces of $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$.

Let $s \in V_\gamma$. Then s vanishes at every $\xi \in \gamma$ by definition. Since $C_\gamma \subset p_1\big(\upsilon_n^{-1}\big(\rho_n(\gamma)\big)\big)$, s vanishes at every point in C_γ. So the polynomial s is divisible by p_γ. Thus, $s \in V_{p_\gamma}$, and $V_\gamma \subset V_{p_\gamma}$. Since $\dim V_{p_\gamma} = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-2)) = n(n-1)/2 = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1) \otimes I_\xi) = \dim V_\gamma$ where $\xi \in \gamma$, we have $V_\gamma = V_{p_\gamma}$. $\square$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $n \ge 2$, and ℓ be a line in X. Then, a curve $\gamma \subset X^{[n]}$ is homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$ if and only if there is a line C in X such that γ is a line in* $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \subset X^{[n]}$. *Moreover, C is uniquely determined by the curve γ.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 (i), it remains to prove the "only if" part.

Let $C = C_\gamma$ where C_γ is from Lemma 5.2. First, we claim $\gamma \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^n(C)$. Indeed, let $\xi \in \gamma$. With the same notations as those in the proof of Lemma 5.3, we choose $s = p_\gamma \cdot q \in V_{p_\gamma}$ where $q \in H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-2)\big)$ and q doesn't vanish at any point in $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi)$. By Lemma 5.3, s vanishes at ξ. So p_γ must vanish at ξ. Therefore, $\xi \subset C_\gamma = C$. Hence, $\xi \in \mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) = C^{(n)}$ for every $\xi \in \gamma$. So $\gamma \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \subset X^{[n]}$. Next, $\mathcal{O}_{X^{[n]}}(D_\ell)|_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C)} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C)}(1)$ by Lemma 5.1 (ii). So viewing γ as a curve in $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C)$, we obtain $\gamma \cdot c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C)}(1)) = \gamma \cdot D_\ell = 1$. Thus γ is a line in $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C)$.

Finally, the uniqueness of the line C follows from the observation that if $\xi \in X^{[n]}$ and $n \ge 2$, then ξ is contained in at most one line in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. $\square$

In the following, we shall study the normal bundle of a curve γ in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$. By Theorem 5.4, there exists a line C in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ such that γ is a line in $C^{(n)} = \mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \subset X^{[n]}$. In particular, $N_{\gamma \subset C^{(n)}} \cong \mathcal{O}_\gamma(1)^{\oplus(n-1)}$. So we have the following exact sequence of normal bundles:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_\gamma(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \to N_{\gamma \subset X^{[n]}} \to N_{C^{(n)} \subset X^{[n]}}|_\gamma \to 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Proposition 5.6. *Let $n \ge 2$, and C and ℓ be lines in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\gamma \subset X^{[n]}$ be a curve homologous to $\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n$. Then,*

(i) $N_{C^{(n)} \subset X^{[n]}} \cong \pi_{n*}\big(q_n^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{\mathcal{Z}_n}\big)|_{C^{(n)}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}(-1)^{\oplus(n-2)}$;

(ii) $N_{\gamma \subset X^{[n]}} = \mathcal{O}_\gamma(1)^{\oplus(n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_\gamma^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_\gamma(-1)^{\oplus(n-2)}$;

(iii) *the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ of all the curves in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ is unobstructed, i.e., is smooth with the expected dimension.*

Proof. (i) By the results in [AIK], $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C)$ is the locus of zeros of a section s of the rank-n locally free sheaf $\pi_{n*}\big(q_n^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{\mathcal{Z}_n}\big)$ over $X^{[n]}$. Furthermore the section s is transversally regular. It follows that $N_{C^{(n)} \subset X^{[n]}} \cong \pi_{n*}\big(q_n^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{\mathcal{Z}_n}\big)|_{C^{(n)}}$.

To prove the second isomorphism, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n$ be the universal subscheme in $C^{(n)} \times C \cong \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then, we have $\pi_{n*}\big(q_n^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{\mathcal{Z}_n}\big)|_{C^{(n)}} \cong \tilde{\pi}_{n*}\big(\tilde{q}_n^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n}\big)$ where $\tilde{\pi}_{n*} : \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n \to \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\tilde{q}_n : \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ are the projections. It is known that $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is defined by the equation $a_0U^n + a_1U^{n-1}V + \ldots + a_nV^n = 0$ where $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ and U, V are the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}^1$ respectively. So the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n)$ is of type $(1, n)$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\tilde{p}_n : \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projection. Applying $\tilde{p}_{n*}$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \tilde{q}_n^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n) \to \tilde{q}_n^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \to \tilde{q}_n^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n} \to 0,$$

we obtain $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}^{\oplus 2} \to \tilde{\pi}_{n*}\big(\tilde{q}_n^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n}\big) \to \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}(-1)^{\oplus(n-2)} \to 0$. Since this exact sequence splits, we conclude the second isomorphism.

(ii) Follows immediately from (5.5) and the isomorphisms in (i).

(iii) By (ii), $H^1(\gamma, N_{\gamma \subset X^{[n]}}) = 0$ for any curve γ homologous to $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$. By deformation theory, $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ is unobstructed. □

Theorem 5.7. *Let $n \geq 2, X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and ℓ be a line in X. Then the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ of curves in $X^{[n]}$ homologous to $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ is isomorphic to the Grassmannian bundle* $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathrm{Sym}^n((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*), 2)$ *over* $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$.

Proof. First of all, we give a global description to the union W_n of all the subsets $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \subset X^{[n]}$ with C being lines in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Consider the incidence variety: $F = \{(x, [C]) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^* \,|\, x \in C\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. We know that $F \cong \mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)$. Then we have $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*) \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^n(F/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*) \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^*/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*) \cong X^{[n]} \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ of relative Hilbert schemes over $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. Let $\pi \colon \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*) \to (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ be the projection. Consider the map $\alpha \colon \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*) \hookrightarrow X^{[n]} \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^* \to X^{[n]}$. Note that via the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*) \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^n(F/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*)$, the fiber of the map π over a point $[C] \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C)$. So $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha) = W_n$. Moreover, since $n \geq 2$, an element $\xi \in X^{[n]}$ is contained in at most one line C in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. So if $\alpha(\xi') = \alpha(\eta')$ for $\xi', \eta' \in \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*)$, then there exists a unique line C such that $\alpha(\xi') = \alpha(\eta') \subset C$, i.e., ξ' and η' are contained in the fiber $\pi^{-1}([C])$ over the point $[C] \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. Since α maps this fiber isomorphically to $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \subset X^{[n]}$, we must have $\xi' = \eta'$. By the Theorem 4.1.11 in [Got], $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)/(\mathbb{P}^2)^*) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^n((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*))$. Thus, α gives the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^n((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*)) \cong W_n. \tag{5.8}$$

So the set of all the lines in $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \subset X^{[n]}$ with C being lines in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ is parameterized by the Grassmannian bundle $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathrm{Sym}^n((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*), 2)$ over $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. By Theorem 5.4, from the universal properties of the Hilbert schemes and the Grassmannians, we obtain a bijective morphism $\Lambda : \mathrm{Grass}(\mathrm{Sym}^n((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*), 2) \to \mathfrak{M}(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$. Since both $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathrm{Sym}^n((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*), 2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ are smooth, the bijectivity of Λ implies that Λ is an isomorphism. □

By Lemma 3.8 and (3.7), the morphism $\Phi_2 = \mathfrak{P}_2 \circ \varphi_2 \colon X^{[n]} \to \mathbb{P}^{N_2}$ is an embedding associated to the complete linear system $|nD_\ell - B_n/2|$. By Theorem 3.14 (iii), $\gamma \subset X^{[n]}$ is mapped to a line by Φ_2 if and only if either $\gamma \sim \beta_n$ or $\gamma \sim (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$. Therefore, regarding $X^{[n]}$ as a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{N_2}$, then the Hilbert scheme of lines in $X^{[n]}$ is the disjoint union of $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_n)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$.

6. The structure of the morphism φ_1

Our goal in this final section is to study the structure of the morphism φ_1 defined in (3.5) when $n \geq 3$. We recall from Proposition 3.12 (ii) that the structure of φ_1 has been determined when $n = 2$. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and W_n be the contraction locus of the morphism $\varphi_1 : X^{[n]} \to \varphi_1(X^{[n]})$ defined by (3.5). Then,*

(i) *a fiber of φ_1 is either a point or the subset $C^{(n)} \subset X^{[n]}$ for some line $C \subset X$;*

(ii) *the restriction $\varphi_1|_{W_n} : W_n \to \varphi_1(W_n)$ is isomorphic to the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^n((T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*})^*))$ over $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$, where $T_{(\mathbb{P}^2)^*}$ is the tangent bundle of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$;*

(iii) *$N \cong (\varphi_1|_{W_n})^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$ where $N = N_{W_n \subset X^{[n]}}$ is the normal bundle of W_n in $X^{[n]}$, M is some vector bundle over $\varphi_1(W_n) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$, and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ stands for the tautological line bundle over the projective bundle $\varphi_1|_{W_n} : W_n \to \varphi_1(W_n)$.*

Proof. (i) Fix $\xi \in X^{[n]}$. By definition, $\varphi_1(\xi) \in \varphi_1(X^{[n]}) \subset \mathrm{Grass}\big(H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big), n\big)$ corresponds to the $n(n-1)/2$-dimensional subspace $H^0\big(X, I_\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$ in $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$. So $\eta \in (\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi))$ if and only if $H^0\big(X, I_\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big) = H^0\big(X, I_\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$. Let $\mathfrak{d} \subset |(n-1)\ell|$ be the linear system corresponding to the subspace $H^0\big(X, I_\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$ in $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$. Then, either $\mathfrak{d}$ cuts out a 0-dimensional subscheme ζ with $\zeta \supset \xi$, or $\mathfrak{d}$ has a fixed component C.

Assume that $\mathfrak{d}$ cuts out a 0-dimensional subscheme ζ with $\zeta \supset \xi$. Then, $H^0\big(X, I_\zeta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big) = H^0\big(X, I_\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$, and $(\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi)) \subset \{\eta \in X^{[n]} | \ \eta \subset \zeta\}$. Conversely, if $\eta \in X^{[n]}$ and $\eta \subset \zeta$, then $H^0\big(X, I_\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big) \supset H^0\big(X, I_\zeta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big) = H^0\big(X, I_\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$. So $H^0\big(X, I_\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big) = H^0\big(X, I_\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$ since they have the same dimension. Thus $\eta \in (\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi))$, and $\{\eta \in X^{[n]} | \ \eta \subset \zeta\} \subset (\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi))$. Hence $(\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi)) = \{\eta \in X^{[n]} | \ \eta \subset \zeta\}$. Now we claim $(\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi)) = \{\xi\}$. Indeed, if $(\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi))$ contains more than one point, then there is an irreducible projective curve Γ in $(\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi)) = \{\eta \in X^{[n]} | \ \eta \subset \zeta\}$ since the closed subset $\{\eta \in X^{[n]} | \ \eta \subset \zeta\}$ of $X^{[n]}$ is known to be connected. Moreover, the projective curve Γ is contracted to points by both the Hilbert-Chow morphism ρ_n and the morphism φ_1. So $\Gamma \cdot D_\ell = 0$, and $\Gamma \cdot B_n = 0$ in view of (3.7). By Theorem 3.14 (i), the intersection of Γ with every divisor on $X^{[n]}$ is zero. This is impossible. So $(\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi)) = \{\xi\}$.

Next, assume that $\mathfrak{d}$ has a fixed component C. Let $C \sim d\ell$ where $d \geq 1$. Then, $n(n-1)/2 - 1 = \dim(\mathfrak{d}) \leq \dim |(n-1-d)\ell| = (n+1-d)(n-d)/2 - 1$. It follows that $d = 1$, C is a line in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\xi \subset C$ (i.e. $\xi \in C^{(n)} \subset X^{[n]}$). So $(\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi)) \subset C^{(n)}$. On the other hand, letting $p(C)$ be a linear homogeneous polynomial defining the line C, we see that for every $\eta \in C^{(n)} \subset X^{[n]}$, the subspace $H^0\big(X, I_\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$ in $H^0\big(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$ consists of all the degree-$(n-1)$ homogeneous polynomials divisible by $p(C)$. In particular, $H^0\big(X, I_\eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big) = H^0\big(X, I_\xi \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n-1)\big)$. So $C^{(n)} \subset (\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi))$. Hence $(\varphi_1)^{-1}(\varphi_1(\xi)) = C^{(n)}$.

(ii) By (i), the contraction locus W_n is the union of all the subsets $C^{(n)} \subset X^{[n]}$ with C being lines in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. So (ii) follows from (5.8) and (i).

(iii) Fix a line C in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. By (ii), $C^{(n)}$ is a fiber in the fiber bundle $\varphi_1|_{W_n} : W_n \to \varphi_1(W_n)$. So we have $N_{C^{(n)} \subset W_n} = \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}^{\oplus 2}$. Thus by Proposition 5.6 (i), we obtain an exact sequence of normal bundles:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}^{\oplus 2} \to \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}(-1)^{\oplus (n-2)} \to (N_{W_n \subset X^{[n]}})|_{C^{(n)}} = N|_{C^{(n)}} \to 0.$$

It follows that $N|_{C^{(n)}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}(-1)^{\oplus (n-2)}$ for every line C in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Since these $C^{(n)}$ with C being lines in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ are precisely the fibers of the projective bundle $\varphi_1|_{W_n} : W_n \to \varphi_1(W_n)$, we conclude that $N \cong (\varphi_1|_{W_n})^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$ for some rank-$(n-2)$ vector bundle M over $\varphi_1(W_n) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$. $\square$

Let $n \geq 3$. By Lemma 6.1 (ii), we have $\dim(W_n) = (n+2) \leq \dim(X^{[n]}) - 1$. So $\varphi_1 : X^{[n]} \to \varphi_1(X^{[n]})$ is a birational morphism. Since $K_{X^{[n]}} = -3D_\ell$ where ℓ is a line in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, $(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) \cdot K_{X^{[n]}} = -3 < 0$. This says that $R \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{R}^+ \cdot (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$ is an extremal ray since $(-K_{X^{[n]}})$ is nef and the Picard number of $X^{[n]}$

is 2. Thus φ_1 is the contraction of R since $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)\cdot(\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n) = 0$. Moreover, when $n \ge 4$, $\dim(W_n) \le \dim(X^{[n]}) - 2$, so φ_1 is a small contraction.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $n \ge 4$ and $X = \mathbb{P}^2$. Then, the flip for the small contraction $\varphi_1 : X^{[n]} \to \varphi_1(X^{[n]})$ defined via (3.5) exists.*

Proof. We shall prove that the Flip Conjecture 5-1-10 in [KMM] holds for φ_1. More precisely, we construct the commutative diagrams (6.8) and (6.9) satisfying this Flip Conjecture. The proof is a little long, but may be divided into two steps. In step one, we construct $\widetilde{\varphi}_-$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_+$. In step two, we construct φ_+.

Let $\widetilde{\varphi}_- : \widetilde{X^{[n]}} \to X^{[n]}$ be the blowing-up of $X^{[n]}$ along the contraction locus W_n of φ_1, and $\widetilde{W_n} \subset \widetilde{X^{[n]}}$ be the exceptional divisor. Let N be the normal bundle of W_n in $X^{[n]}$. Then over $\widetilde{W_n} = \mathbb{P}(N^*)$, we have the tautological surjection

$$(\widetilde{\varphi}_-|_{\widetilde{W_n}})^*(N^*) \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W_n}}(1) \to 0 \tag{6.3}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W_n}}(1)$ is the tautological line bundle over $\widetilde{W_n} = \mathbb{P}(N^*)$. By Lemma 6.1 (iii), $N \cong (\varphi_1|_{W_n})^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{W_n}(-1)$ where M is some rank-$(n-2)$ vector bundle over $\varphi_1(W_n) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$, and $\mathcal{O}_{W_n}(1)$ stands for the tautological line bundle over the projective bundle $\varphi_1|_{W_n} : W_n \to \varphi_1(W_n)$. So from (6.3), we obtain a surjection:

$$(\varphi_1|_{W_n} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-|_{\widetilde{W_n}})^*(M^*) \to (\widetilde{\varphi}_-|_{\widetilde{W_n}})^*\mathcal{O}_{W_n}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W_n}}(1) \to 0.$$

By the Proposition 7.12 in Chapter II of [Har], the map $(\varphi_1|_{W_n} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-|_{\widetilde{W_n}}) : \widetilde{W_n} \to \varphi_1(W_n)$ factors through the natural projection $\mathbb{P}(M^*) \to \varphi_1(W_n)$. In fact, the induced morphism $\widetilde{\varphi} : \widetilde{W_n} \to \mathbb{P}(M^*)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^n$-bundle. So the fibers of $(\varphi_1|_{W_n} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-|_{\widetilde{W_n}}) : \widetilde{W_n} \to \varphi_1(W_n)$ are naturally $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$. Moreover, for the projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n$ in the fibers $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X^{[n]}}}(\widetilde{W_n})|_{\mathbb{P}^n} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$, using the facts that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X^{[n]}}}(\widetilde{W_n})|_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-3}} \cong \mathcal{O}(a,-1)$ for some integer a and that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n-2)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \cong N^*|_{\mathbb{P}^n} &\cong (\widetilde{\varphi}_-|_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-3}})_*\left[\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X^{[n]}}}(-\widetilde{W_n})|_{\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-3}}\right] \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n-2)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-a).\end{aligned}$$

In other words, the restriction $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X^{[n]}}}(-\widetilde{W_n})|_{\widetilde{W_n}}$ is a tautological line bundle of $\widetilde{\varphi} : \widetilde{W_n} \to \mathbb{P}(M^*)$. By the results in [Nak, F-N], there exists a morphism $\widetilde{\varphi}_+ : \widetilde{X^{[n]}} \to (X^{[n]})_+$ such that $(X^{[n]})_+$ is smooth and contains $\mathbb{P}(M^*)$. Moreover, $\widetilde{\varphi}_+|_{\widetilde{W_n}} = \widetilde{\varphi}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_+$ is the blowing-up of $(X^{[n]})_+$ along $\mathbb{P}(M^*)$.

Now we claim that $(\widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2) = (\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^*H_+$ for some divisor H_+ on $(X^{[n]})_+$. Indeed, recall from (3.7) that $((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2) = (\varphi_1)^*H$ for some very ample divisor H on $\varphi_1(X^{[n]})$. Consider the divisor

$$(\widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2) = (\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*H \tag{6.4}$$

on $\widetilde{X^{[n]}}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}\cdot[\widetilde{W_n}] \oplus (\widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*\mathrm{Cl}(X^{[n]}) = \mathrm{Cl}(\widetilde{X^{[n]}}) = \mathbb{Z}\cdot[\widetilde{W_n}] \oplus (\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^*\mathrm{Cl}((X^{[n]})_+)$ where $\mathrm{Cl}(\cdot)$ stands for the divisor class group, we conclude that

$$(\widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2) = \lambda\widetilde{W_n} + (\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^*H_+ \tag{6.5}$$

for some integer λ and some divisor H_+ on $(X^{[n]})_+$. Let $\widetilde{C} \subset \widetilde{X^{[n]}}$ be a line contained in a fiber $\mathbb{P}^n$ of the projective bundle $\widetilde{\varphi} : \widetilde{W_n} \to \mathbb{P}(M^*)$. Then, $\widetilde{\varphi}_+(\widetilde{C}) = \widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{C})$ is a point in $\mathbb{P}(M^*) \subset (X^{[n]})_+$, and $(\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)(\widetilde{C})$ is a point in $\varphi_1(W_n) \subset \varphi_1(X^{[n]})$. So applying $\cdot\widetilde{C}$ to both sides of (6.5) and using (6.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^* H \cdot \widetilde{C} = (\widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2) \cdot \widetilde{C} \\ &= (\lambda \widetilde{W_n} + (\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^* H_+) \cdot \widetilde{C} = \lambda \cdot (\widetilde{W_n} \cdot \widetilde{C}) = \lambda \cdot (c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)) \cdot \widetilde{C}) = -\lambda \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X^{[n]}}}(\widetilde{W_n})|_{\mathbb{P}^n} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$ when $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a fiber of the projective bundle $\widetilde{\varphi} : \widetilde{W_n} \to \mathbb{P}(M^*)$. So $\lambda = 0$ and

$$(\widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2) = (\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^* H_+. \tag{6.6}$$

Since $\widetilde{\varphi}_+$ is the blowing-up morphism of the smooth variety $(X^{[n]})_+$, we see that $|(\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^* H_+| = (\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^*|H_+|$. So combining with (6.4) and (6.6), we obtain

$$|(\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^* H| = |(\widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*((n-1)D_\ell - B_n/2)| = |(\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^* H_+| = (\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^*|H_+|. \tag{6.7}$$

Since φ_1 is the contraction of the extremal ray $R = \mathbb{R}^+ \cdot (\beta_\ell - (n-1)\beta_n)$, it is known from [KMM] that $\varphi_1(X^{[n]})$ has at most rational singularities. So $|(\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^* H| = (\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*|H|$. In view of (6.7), $(\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*|H| = |(\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^* H| = (\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^*|H_+|$. Since H is a very ample divisor on $\varphi_1(X^{[n]})$, $(\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*|H|$ is base-point-free. It follows from $(\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^*|H_+| = (\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*|H|$ that $|H_+|$ is also base-point-free. So $|H_+|$ induces a morphism $\varphi_+ : (X^{[n]})_+ \to \varphi_+((X^{[n]})_+)$. Moreover, since $(\widetilde{\varphi}_+)^*|H_+| = (\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_-)^*|H|$, we conclude that $\varphi_1 \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_- = \varphi_+ \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_+$ up to an isomorphism between $\varphi_1(X^{[n]})$ and $\varphi_+((X^{[n]})_+)$. So putting $\varphi_- = \varphi_1$, we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \widetilde{X^{[n]}} \arrow[dl, "\widetilde{\varphi}_-"] \arrow[dr, "\widetilde{\varphi}_+"] & \\ X^{[n]} \arrow[dr, "\varphi_-"] & & (X^{[n]})_+ . \arrow[dl, "\varphi_+"] \\ & \varphi_1(X^{[n]}) & \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.8}$$

Note that $\varphi_+ : (X^{[n]})_+ - \mathbb{P}(M^*) \to \varphi_1(X^{[n]}) - \varphi_1(W_n)$ is an isomorphism.

It is standard to check that $K_{(X^{[n]})_+}$ is φ_+-ample. So the commutative triangle

$$\begin{tikzcd} X^{[n]} \arrow[rr, dashed, "\widetilde{\varphi}_+ \circ (\widetilde{\varphi}_-)^{-1}"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi_-"] & & (X^{[n]})_+ \arrow[dl, "\varphi_+"] \\ & \varphi_1(X^{[n]}) & \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.9}$$

satisfies the Flip Conjecture 5-1-10 in [KMM], i.e., the flip for $\varphi_- = \varphi_1$ exists. □

Remark 6.10. The proof of Theorem 6.2 shows that for $n = 3$, $\varphi_1 : X^{[n]} \to \varphi_1(X^{[n]})$ is the blowing-up along $\varphi_1(W_n) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$, and W_n is the exceptional locus.

References

[AIK] A. Altman, A. Iarrobino and S. Kleiman, *Irreducibility of the compactified Jacobian,* Real and complex singularities (Proc. 9th Nordic Summer School, Oslo, 1976) (1977), Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Groningen, 1-12.

[Bea] A. Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la premiére classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983), 755-782.

[BSG] M. Beltrametti and A. Sommese, *Zero cycles and kth order embeddings of smooth projective surfaces. With the Appendix "Identification of very ample line bundles on $S^{[r]}$" by L. Göttsche*, Sympos. Math., XXXII, Problems in the theory of surfaces and their classification (Cortona, 1988), Academic Press, London, 1991, pp. 33-48.

[C-G] F. Catanese and L. Göttsche, *d-very-ample line bundles and embeddings of Hilbert schemes of 0-cycles*, Manuscripta Math. **68** (1990), 337-341.

[E-L] G. Ellingsrud and M. Lehn, *Irreducibility of the punctual quotient scheme of a surface*, Ark. Mat. **37** (1999), 245-254.

[ES1] G. Ellingsrud and S.A. Strømme, *On the homology of the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 343-352.

[ES2] G. Ellingsrud and S.A. Strømme, *An intersection number for the punctual Hilbert scheme of a surface*, Trans. of A.M.S. **350** (1999), 2547-2552.

[Fo1] J. Fogarty, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 511-520.

[Fo2] J. Fogarty, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface. II: The Picard scheme of the punctual Hilbert scheme*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 660-687.

[F-N] A. Fujiki and S. Nakano, *Supplement to "On the inverse of monoidal transformation"*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto University **7** (1971), 637-644.

[Got] L. Göttsche, *Hilbert schemes of zero-dimensional subschemes of smooth varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1572**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[Gro] I. Grojnowski, *Instantons and affine algebras I: the Hilbert scheme and vertex operators*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), 275-291.

[Har] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1978.

[Iar] A. Iarrobino, *Punctual Hilbert schemes*, Mem. A.M.S. **188** (1977).

[KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai Adv. Stud. Pure Math. (T. Oda, ed.), vol. 10, Kinokuniya and Amsterdam North-Holland, Tokyo, 1987, pp. 283–360.

[Leh] M. Lehn, *Chern classes of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), 157-207.

[L-Q] W.-P. Li and Z. Qin, *On 1-point Gromov-Witten invariants of the Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Preprint.

[Na1] H. Nakajima, *Heisenberg algebra and Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces*, Ann. Math. **145** (1997), 379-388.

[Nak] S. Nakano, *On the inverse of monoidal transformation*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto University **6** (1970), 483-502.

[Sim] C. T. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I*, Publ. Math. IHES **79** (1994), 47-129.